# **CPARB Data Collection Implementation Committee**

Report Out/Committee Status
Prepared for CPARB Meeting of September 10<sup>th</sup>, 2020 (virtual)

## Summary

The Data Collection Implementation Committee (DCIC) is formally presenting our response to CPARB's directive as a primary responsibility; while offering collateral observations. The DCIC requests instructions from CPARB as we believe we have met the objectives from CPARB over 1-1/2 years ago.

### **Current Members**

Aleanna Kondelis Co-chair (Primary Author)
Andrew Thompson Co-chair (Support Author)

Irene Reyes
Nancy Deakins
Brian Barson
Janice Zahn
Howard Hillinger
Jolene Skinner

## **Current CPARB Direction (October and December 2018)**

Prepare a response for CPARB to consider regarding 2013 JLARC Report Recommendation #3

#### Recommendation 3

Public bodies using GCCM should obtain information on project subcontract awards and payments and provide a final project report on their GCCM subcontracting to CPARB.

Review of selected GCCM project files shows that information to verify the limit on GCCM contractors performing subcontract work is not readily available without access to contractor project files. Although public bodies have procedures in place to ensure compliance with this requirement, the information needed to verify compliance is often dispersed and unorganized. The public bodies using GCCM should maintain a complete record of a project's subcontract awards and payments and report this information to CPARB. CPARB can provide guidance and instructions to the public bodies on how best to consistently report this information.

JLARC Report 13-2: Alternative Public Works Sunset Review

25

## Elements to the Recommendation #3 identified by the DCIC:

- GC/CM self-performance data not readily available without access to the contractor's project files.
- 2) Information regarding self-performance is dispersed and unorganized.
- Public bodies should keep complete records of all subcontract awards
- 4) (CPARB can provide instruction to public owners how best to consistently report the information)

### Response

With the help and support of CPARB (and PRC), in order to provide a consistent, organized "record" of GC/CM self-performance and subcontract award data, the DCIC implemented additional questions to the PRC applications for Owner Certification and Recertification. The questions essentially asked –

Public Agencies (Owners) utilizing GC/CM delivery to submit the recommended self-performance and subcontractor award data to be provided for each completed GC/CM project in the last certification period.

The information regarding self-performance and subcontract awards will be stored with each application and available through the CPARB/PRC website without accessing the contractors or owners separate file.

Given the limited options for a central repositories and consistent structure, the DCIC believes leveraging the PRC application procedure is the most efficient method of collecting and storing this information. The consistent nature of the applications overall helps Owner's submit this information.

We observed the application submissions for 1-year. (see May memo to Chair Keith).

The Committee summarized our response in a memo to Chair/Vice-Chair Keith in May (posted in the documents for the committee on the website). Chair Keith used the information in a draft report to JLARC as they started their review.

## The Data Collection Implementation Committee believes this completes our current charge.

## **Collateral Observations and potential future consideration**

- The GC/CM Committee created by CPARB is developing GC/CM best practices, allowing the
  opportunity to include more specifics or examples reporting forms, calculation approaches,
  managing bid packages, etc.
- Several Statewide organizations and professional groups that collect data, review practices, track the public works, etc. are currently improving their data collection processes and may be able to leverage their work and progress in this space. Specific attention to the current work and updates being undertaken by the Department of Labor & Industries (LNI) and the DATA.WA, MRSC, and the OMWBE Community of Practice are proven, agnostic and professional subject matter experts available to be a resource for future CPARB requests for information to assist with forming policy advice to the legislature.